

## **PROCESS FOR RECOGNIZING THE MOVEMENT OF A MOTOR VEHICLE**

### **FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

**[0001]** The present invention pertains to a process for recognizing the movement of a motor vehicle as well as to the use of an acceleration sensor in a device for blocking the starting of a motor vehicle.

### **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

**[0002]** Interlock systems, which are to prevent the unauthorized starting of the motor vehicle, are installed in motor vehicles. Starting is unauthorized, e.g., if a motor vehicle is stolen or the user of the motor vehicle is under the influence of alcohol. In the latter case, the starter in a motor vehicle with an interlock system installed can be actuated only when the user had previously undergone a breath alcohol test and the value measured in the test is below a certain

limit value. Since such a breath alcohol test is not intended to be a breath alcohol test performed by the police within the framework of a traffic check, attempts at deception cannot be ruled out, in which the breath alcohol test is circumvented or the motor vehicle is pushed or started by another person, even though the value measured in the breath alcohol test is above the limit value.

5 Such attempts of deception represent a violation of the legal conditions, have far-reaching consequences for the person involved and must therefore be reliably identified or ruled out.

[0003] Prior-art interlock systems monitor, e.g., the vehicle system voltage in the motor vehicle, doing so even when the motor vehicle is stopped and the ignition is not turned on.

However, a sample is requested for the breath alcohol test only after the ignition had been turned  
10 on. The drop in the vehicle system voltage during the start-up of the motor vehicle is used here as a criterion of whether or not a start has taken place. If a drop in the vehicle system voltage is indeed registered when the breath alcohol test has not been passed, an entry is made in the memory of the interlock system, which is read, e.g., by an inspection station at monthly intervals. However, the detection of the vehicle system voltage is not a reliable criterion for the question of  
15 whether the motor vehicle was started or not, because a drop in the vehicle system voltage may also be caused by turning on an air conditioner, a radio, windshield wipers or a fan in the motor vehicle.

[0004] Another possibility of demonstrating the unauthorized starting of a motor vehicle is described in US 2002/0039951 A1. An acceleration sensor with a control means for the  
20 ignition and the fuel supply is used there on a motorcycle. The acceleration sensor also takes into

account the gravitational acceleration and, in a preferred embodiment, it is able to measure the accelerations in two directions directed at right angles to one another. Signal noise and vibrations, which shall be left out of consideration, are eliminated by a downstream filter.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

5     **[0005]**         The object of the present invention is to provide a process with which reliable information can be obtained on whether a motor vehicle was moved or not.

**[0006]**         According to the invention, a process is provided for recognizing the movement of  
·     a motor vehicle. The accelerating forces acting on the motor vehicle are measured at a preset  
·     time interval by an acceleration sensor as time-dependent functions and sent to a evaluating and  
10     control unit. The evaluating and control unit determines a frequency spectrum of the functions on  
the basis of a Fourier analysis. If a preset percentage of the frequency spectrum is below a set  
limit frequency, the evaluating and control unit stores information indicating that the motor  
vehicle was moved. If the preset percentage of the frequency spectrum is not below the set limit  
frequency, the evaluating and control unit stores information indicating that the motor vehicle was  
15     not moved.

**[0007]**         In a process for recognizing the movement of a motor vehicle, the accelerating  
forces are measured by an acceleration sensor as time-dependent functions and sent to an  
evaluating and control unit. The time-dependent functions are subjected there to a Fourier  
analysis, also called harmonic analysis. The corresponding frequency spectrum can thus be

determined. If a preset percentage of the frequency spectrum is below a set limit frequency, the evaluating and control unit stores information that the motor vehicle was moved. If this condition is not met, i.e., the preset percentage of the frequency spectrum is not below the set limit frequency, the evaluating and control unit stores information that the motor vehicle was not moved.

[0008] In a preferred embodiment of the process, the accelerating forces acting on the motor vehicle are measured by the acceleration sensor in at least two mutually independent directions. It is ensured in this manner that one component of the accelerating forces is detected in the horizontal direction. The acceleration sensor can therefore be installed in the motor vehicle without regard to a special orientation in space.

[0009] Depending on whether a continuous or discrete frequency spectrum is desired, the time-dependent functions of the accelerating forces are subjected to a continuous or discrete Fourier transformation. A discrete Fourier transformation is sufficient for the purposes of the subsequent assessment of whether or not a motor vehicle was moved and is to be given preference over a continuous Fourier transformation because it can be performed in a comparatively simple manner in an evaluating and control unit.

[0010] The limit frequency on the basis of which the decision is made on whether or not the motor vehicle was moved is advantageously set as a function of the spring system and the weight of the motor vehicle. For example, 0.3 Hz is used as the limit frequency for a usual

passenger car. A correspondingly lower limit frequency shall be selected in the case of a heavy motor vehicle and in the case of a softer spring system.

5 [0011] For example, a period between 30 sec and 60 sec is recommended as the preset time interval at which the accelerating forces acting on the motor vehicle are measured as time-dependent functions.

[0012] In case of the use of an acceleration sensor in a device for blocking the starting of a motor vehicle, the accelerating forces measured by the acceleration sensor are evaluated by an evaluating and control unit, e.g., according to the process according to the present invention.

10 Independently herefrom, the evaluating and control unit receives a user breath alcohol concentration, which is measured by means of a connected handset in the motor vehicle.

Depending on the breath alcohol concentration measured, the evaluating and control unit energizes a relay, which will then interrupt or close a circuit to a starter by either opening or closing a corresponding switch.

15 [0013] The measured values for the breath alcohol concentration and the measured values of the accelerating forces acting on the motor vehicle, which were evaluated according to the process according to the present invention, are stored by the evaluating and control unit and made available for inspections at time intervals of about one month.

[0014] The various features of novelty which characterize the invention are pointed out



## DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

[0020] Referring to the drawings in particular, Figure 1 shows a device for blocking the starting of a motor vehicle. The device comprises an acceleration sensor 1, which detects the accelerating forces acting on a motor vehicle in two independent directions. Thus, it may be installed, e.g., under the dashboard of a motor vehicle in any orientation, and components of accelerating forces in the horizontal direction are always detected. The measurement of accelerating forces in two mutually independent directions is symbolized by the system of coordinates indicated schematically. The values measured by the acceleration sensor 1 are passed on continuously to an evaluating and control unit 2. The two functions are recorded: The  $g_x(t)$  curve showing the changes in the accelerating forces acting on the motor vehicle in the x direction over time and the  $g_y(t)$  curve showing the changes in the accelerating forces acting on the motor vehicle in the y direction over time. Two functions  $g_x(t)$  and  $g_y(t)$  are subjected by the evaluating and control unit 2 to a Fourier analysis each. The Fourier analysis provides information on the frequencies that are involved in the particular accelerating forces. The measured frequency components of the Fourier analysis are below 0.3 Hz in case of movement of the motor vehicle, as it happens during the start or during travel in a curve. Vibrations that lead to frequencies mostly above 0.3 Hz are generated during a shaking movement or a slight shock of the motor vehicle. The assessment as to whether the majority of the frequencies is below or above a preset value, 0.3 Hz in this example, is made in the following manner: The frequencies determined for  $g_x(t)$  and for  $g_y(t)$  are added up. In the case of a continuous Fourier analysis, the frequency component from 0 Hz to 0.3 Hz and beginning from 0.3 Hz would be integrated. If the frequency component from 0 Hz to 0.3 Hz accounts, e.g., for more than 50% of the total integral, movement of the motor

vehicle can be assumed. If the frequency component from 0 Hz to 0.3 Hz accounts for less than 50% of the total integral, movement of the motor vehicle is ruled out. The frequency component from 0 Hz to 0.3 Hz and that beginning from 0.3 Hz would be added up in the case of a discrete Fourier analysis with frequencies at constant distances. If the sum of the frequency component from 0 Hz to 0.3 Hz exceeds the sum of the frequency component beginning from 0.3 Hz, movement of the motor vehicle is assumed in this case as well. If, by contrast, the sum of the frequency component from 0 Hz to 0.3 Hz is smaller than the sum of the frequency component above 0.3 Hz, an irrelevant movement of the motor vehicle, which cannot be brought into connection with its movement, is assumed.

**[0021]** The device for blocking the starting of a motor vehicle will be explained below on the basis of its mode of operation:

**[0022]** The motor vehicle is first started by a user. An ignition switch 6 shown in the open state in Figure 1 is closed for this purpose. The evaluating and control unit 2 will then activate a handset 8 via a line 7. The handset 8 is supplied with power and data are exchanged between the handset 8 and the evaluating and control unit 2 via the line 7. The handset 8 is accommodated freely accessibly and movably in the interior of the motor vehicle. The user delivers a breath alcohol sample via a mouthpiece 9 arranged at the handset. If the alcohol concentration in the breath alcohol sample is below a preset limit value, the evaluating and control unit 2 energizes a relay 3, and a switch 5, shown in the opened state in Figure 1, is closed, so that a starter 4 can be started.



[0023] The evaluating and control unit 2 of the device for blocking the starting of a motor vehicle receives and processes both the signals of the handset 8 and those of the acceleration sensor 1. The signals are evaluated, stored and usually read at a corresponding inspection station at monthly intervals. If a breath alcohol sample turns out to be above a preset limit value, but the acceleration sensor measured shortly thereafter accelerating forces that show predominantly frequency components in the range between 0 Hz and 0.3 Hz during the subsequent Fourier analysis, it can be assumed that the motor vehicle was moved, even though the result of the breath alcohol test was positive. This may have far-reaching consequences for the user of the motor vehicle. It is understandable from this that the statement made on whether the motor vehicle was moved or was subject only to a slight shock without any further consequence must be extremely reliable.

[0024] Figure 2 shows a first  $g_{x1}(t)$  curve showing the changes over time in the accelerating forces acting on a motor vehicle in a direction x of the two independent directions, which are measured by the acceleration sensor 1 shown in Figure 1. The time interval on the abscissa is 40 sec, and increments of 5 sec each are shown. The measured accelerating forces in m per square sec are plotted on the ordinate. Two diagonal stripes in Figure 2 correspond to 1 m/s<sup>2</sup> (meter per square second), indicated by the vertical double arrow.

[0025] Figure 3 shows the distribution of the frequencies of a discrete Fourier analysis of the function  $g_{x1}(t)$  from Figure 2. The value of the frequency is plotted in Hz on the abscissa. An increment of 0.02 Hz (Hertz) was selected. The amplitude of the particular frequency is

shown in a dimensionless form on the ordinate. The vertical line at 0.3 Hz marks the limit for the decision on whether movement of the motor vehicle took place. This is given here because the majority of the frequencies are below 0.3 Hz. Consequently, there is no shock that is merely insignificant, because the majority of the frequencies would be above 0.3 Hz in this case.

5     **[0026]**         Figure 4 shows a second  $g_{x2}(t)$  curve showing the changes over time in the accelerating forces acting on a motor vehicle in the same manner as Figure 2. Likewise, 40 sec are plotted on the abscissa at 5-sec increments. The accelerating forces in meter per square second are likewise plotted on the ordinate here. However, two diagonal stripes correspond to 0.2 m/s<sup>2</sup> here, represented on the basis of a vertical double arrow.

10    **[0027]**         Figure 5 shows the distribution of the frequencies of a discrete Fourier analysis of the function  $g_{x2}(t)$  from Figure 4. As in Figure 3, the frequency is plotted on the abscissa at 0.02 Hz increments in this case as well. The amplitude of the particular frequency is plotted on the ordinate. The majority of the frequencies are above 0.3 Hz here, i.e., to the right of the vertical limit line at 0.3 Hz. A shaking movement of the motor vehicle rather than its movement is  
15    assumed in this case.

**[0028]**         While a specific embodiment of the invention has been shown and described in detail to illustrate the application of the principles of the invention, it will be understood that the invention may be embodied otherwise without departing from such principles.